

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/395,894	09/14/1999	OMAR M. BUAZZA	5040-03206/E	6639
759	90 04/01/2002			
ERIC B. MEYERTONS			EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE & TAYON PC P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H	
AUSTIN, IX	/8/0/-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	18
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/395.894 **BUAZZA ET AL. Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** Donald Heckenberg 1722 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires ____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 14 March 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \times they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: see attached page. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached page. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 102,154,155,158,166,184,185 and 188. Claim(s) rejected: 95-101,103-106,141-152,156,157,159-165,167-182,186 and 187. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/395,894 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

1. In claim 95, the lens forming composition comprising an "ultraviolet absorbing compound" has not previously been claimed, and therefore presents a new issue. In claim 159, the last paragraph reciting a controller and its features is proposed to be deleted, thereby changing the scope of the claim and presenting a new issue.

With reference to claim 159, the Baskerville reference does teach the precusor to be a photochromatic compound, see col. 1, lns. 28-29, 43-48.

Applicant's arguments that various dependent claims are separately patentable because the prior art does not teach the various features is unpersuasive. The prior art does teach or suggest the features of the dependent claims as set forth in the previous Office Action.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-6371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nam Nguyen, can be reached at (703) 308-3322. The official fax phone number for

Art Unit: 1722

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for responses to non-final action, and 703-872-9311 for responses to final actions. The unofficial fax phone number is (703) 305-3602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Donald Heckenberg March 28, 2002 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700